



Rocky Mountain Creation Fellowship

Educating the World On the Truth of Creation

Volume 69 June/July 2011

President's Corner

Variations in skin color not a product of evolution

Dr. Nina Jablonski, Professor and Head of Anthropology Department, Penn. State University, made the following statement: "The mechanism of evolution can be completely understood from skin color" (Penn. State Univ. News: web). She maintains that scientists have understood for years that *evolutionary* (emphasis added) selection of skin color was caused by the sun. The claim is that our human ancestors gradually lost their pelts to allow evaporative cooling through sweating, with their naked skins directly exposed to sunlight. In tropical regions, *natural selection* (emphasis added) produced darkly pigmented individuals to protect them from the sun.

It is further stated that the Ultraviolet B type radiation, which is the dominant region of the sun's spectrum, produces vitamin D, but also destroys the foliate, which is essential for cell growth. However, the darker skin in tropical regions protects against foliate destruction, while allowing production of sufficient vitamin D. As our human ancestors moved out of Africa to other areas of the earth, their dark skins could no longer provide sufficient vitamin D production. Thus *natural selection* (emphasis added) provided the production of lighter skin, which allowed individuals with lighter skins to survive in non-tropical regions.

Jablonski also said, "The nice thing about skin color is that we can teach the principles of evolution using an example on our own bodies and relieve a lot of social

stress about personal skin color at the same time." While all of this seems to fill the bill for an organized program to teach evolution, it suffers from a major problem, in that **it simply isn't true.**

Dr. Jean Lightner (Answers Research Journal 1(2008); 109-118) has shown that the control of pigmentation is maintained by melanocortin 1 receptors located at the melanocytes (pigment cells), which switch between the various shades of skin (or fur) colors. This process appears that it was **designed** to vary. Furthermore, since there are non-random patterns that are unexplainable by any known selection factors, it appears that all of the variant skin pigmentations (mutations) are **actually directed.**

It is obvious that the claims of Jablonski and Lightner are diametrically opposed. Since the current belief among evolutionists is that human ancestors originated in Africa, it is not at all surprising that evolutionists maintain that humans (*homo sapiens*) were originally black skinned. However, what they fail to acknowledge is that the world wide Flood left only Noah's three sons and their wives to procreate the human race. Thus, the genetic predisposition for variant skin colors already existed within the gene pools of these six individuals, and has absolutely nothing to do with evolution. Furthermore, Lightner's work provides strong evidence that the mechanism for changes in skin colors is a **pre-designed process.**

Blessings in His Holy Name, Ed
Dr. Ed Boudreaux, RMCF President

In the News: Pope Benedict XVI spoke on creation/evolution in his annual Easter Vigil homily Saturday April 23, 2011, saying that humanity isn't a random product of evolution. (*Denver Post*, April 24.) Emphasizing the biblical account of creation, the pope said it was wrong to think "in some tiny corner of the cosmos there evolved randomly some species of living being capable of reasoning and of trying to find rationality within creation, or to bring rationality into it." "But no, reason is there at the beginning: creative divine reason." Could this be a renaissance in Roman Church teachings, which has for decades been liberal towards evolution? Pius XII stated, "There is no conflict between evolution and the doctrine of the faith regarding man" in his 1950 encyclical. This was reinforced in 1996 by John Paul II, who stated, "The convergence in the results of these [evolutionary] studies constitutes in itself a significant argument in favor of the theory." But Benedict wrote in the mid-1980s, a defense of the doctrine of creation against Catholics who stressed the sufficiency of "selection and mutation", and it seemed that his ascension to the papacy (inaugurated April 2005) might spark a return to biblical creation as doctrine, as originally established in the First Vatican Council of 1869. But alas, a conference in Rome in March 2009, marking the 150th anniversary of the publication of the *Origin of Species*, generally confirmed the **lack of conflict** between evolutionary theory and Catholic theology, and the rejection of Intelligent Design by Catholic scholars. It seems that Benedict is not buying it. Let's pray the pope takes reason to a biblical level. **Vivat certamen!**

June Meeting Plans

Our plan for the June 10 meeting is to provide our members with a crash course in baraminology, the research into the Biblical kinds. Our speaker, Dr. Roger W. Sanders serves as Assistant Director of the Center for Origins Research at Bryan College, Dayton, Tennessee, <http://www.bryancore.org>. Raised in a fundamentalist church where he learned the gap theory, he became a theistic evolutionist in college. After obtaining a Ph.D. from the University of Texas at Austin in 1979, he worked as a plant taxonomist at well-known conventional research and educational institutions. About 12 years ago, feeling increasing compartmentalization of his life, he investigated the claims of young-earth creationism and was led to accept a literal interpretation of Genesis.



Having made the shift to young-earth creationism, he was immediately intrigued by the work of the Baraminology Study Group members (now the Creation Biology Society

<http://www.creationbiology.org>]

who were studying the creation counterpart of his past evolutionary research. He became an active member in 2001 and in 2006 was hired by Bryan College to carry on research and publication in baraminology, that is, the study of organisms in relation to their having been created *ex nihilo* in separate kinds.

Dr. Sanders was the staff botanist on the Institute for Creation Research tour of the Galapagos Islands, whose lectures and plant photography were featured in Bill Browning's presentation on Galapagos before an RMCF audience a couple years back. Dr. Sanders' presentation is entitled, "Baraminology: Understanding the diversity of life in light of God's Word." Don't miss this informative program!

July Meeting Plans

RMCF members are in for a special treat on July 8 when James Nickel of Wenatchee, WA travels to Denver to give us a presentation on his specialty, mathematics and creation. James Nickel is a mathematics teacher at the Potter's School, which partners with families to provide a wide range of training and resources for family discipleship and home education. He has taught high school math



and other subjects in Christian schools in Hawaii, Australia, and Wenatchee (since 2005), where he currently resides with his wife Lila (his three children are pursuing their vocations.) He also has

nearly 30 years of experience in Information Technology in the areas of science, business, and education, holding positions from programmer to systems analyst. In the 1970s, as a mathematical analyst, James worked for the United States Navy designing test software for the F14A Tomcat and the Tomahawk Cruise Missile at Pt. Mugu Naval Air Station in California. He has always had a fascination with numbers, beginning with keeping baseball statistics in the 1960s. James is the author of "Mathematics: Is God Silent?" and is currently engaged in constructing a worldview-based mathematics textbook and a study on the events surrounding the Incarnation. He loves mentoring young people along with researching and writing, especially in the areas of mathematics and science, but his interests also include theology, history, government, economics, education, and law. James' education includes B.A. (Mathematics), B.Th., B. Miss., and M.A. (Education). James is a Senior Fellow of Mathematics and Education at the Center for Cultural Leadership and an Adjunct Professor of the History and Philosophy of Mathematics at Rivendell Sanctuary, a worldview-based college in Minneapolis, Minnesota. For more information, refer to his web site:

[www.in Haiblicalchristianworldview.net](http://www.inHaiblicalchristianworldview.net).

James Nickel will bring us an exciting presentation entitled, ""Why Mathematics Works." Join Us!

April Meeting Highlights

At our April 8 meeting the audience reviewed a marvelous creation video entitled “God of Wonders”, produced by *Eternal Productions*, for the purpose of evaluating the program as a resource for creation evangelism and Bible study. Afterwards, Ed Boudreaux led a group discussion of the impact and value of the video presentation.

The video contains eighteen small (5 min.) modules discussing various aspects of Creation and what it teaches us about the attributes of God. Each chapter quotes an associated scripture and features testimonies by noted creation scientists such as Don DeYoung, David Menton, Larry Vardiman, Jason Lisle, and Gary Parker. These subjects include:

1. *Introduction* (Ps 66:5 “Come and see the works of God—He is awesome in His doing toward the sons of men”; also Rom 1:20 and Ex 20:11)
2. *God’s Eternal Power* (Ps 90:2, “Even from everlasting to everlasting, You are God.”)
3. *Thunderstorms* (Ps 97:4, Job 26:8 “He binds up the water in the clouds and stirs up the seas.”)
4. *Sun/Stars* (Is 40:26 “Lift up your eyes”, Ps 33:9 “For he spoke, and it was done; He commanded and it stood fast.” (Ps 19:1 “The heavens declare!”)
5. *Universe* 10^{11} galaxies. (Ps 147:4 “He counts the number of the stars and calls the all by name.” Is 40:22: Heavens stretched out as a curtain.)
6. *Energy* (Heb 1:3 “He upholds all things by the Word of His power”) $E=mc^2$ A salt grain contains enough energy to power a household for months.
7. *God of Wisdom* (Jer 32:17 “Behold you have made the heavens and earth by your great power and outstretched arm”) Properties of water.
8. *Snowflakes* (Job 38:22) Unique beauty/design tells of God’s love of beauty and love of man by revealing it to him; likewise, each soul is unique.
9. *DNA molecule* and its stored information cries out, “God Created!” (Ps 104:24 “Oh Lord, how manifold are your works! In Wisdom you have made them all” [creatures]).
10. *Seeds* (Gen 1:11 “Plants yielding seed”) sense the environment for proper soil, moisture, correct light, right atmosphere before germinating.
11. *Flowers* (Matt 6:28 “Consider the lilies of the field”)-- each flower with its unique fragrance, and the miracle of photosynthesis producing plants “pleasant to the sight and good for food.” (Gen 2:9)

12. *Sea Creatures* (Gen 1:20 “Let the waters abound with living creatures; it was good.”) Incredible variety and sophistication of creatures like the squid and octopus with their camouflage, and chambered nautilus with its submarine-like buoyancy, human-like eye, and three hearts.

13. *Birds* (Matt 6:26 “Your Father keeps feeding them.”) Hollow bones, velcro-like feathers, each controlled by its own muscle, and unique breathing apparatus with continuous flow like a bellows.

14. *Hummingbirds*, amazing flying agility and control, wing beats 50 per second, body swivels in all directions, can fly straight up or backwards.

15. *Butterflies* (Ps 111:2 “The works of the Lord are great, studied by all who take pleasure in them.” Also Job 12:7-9). Miracle of metamorphosis, color produced by photonic light structures producing wave interference phenomena and diffraction.

16. *Mankind* (Gen 1:26 “In God’s image,”) with a unique spiritual nature. (John 4:24 “God is Spirit”) Man’s free will, knowing good and evil. Human eye dynamic range 10 B to one, sensitive to a single photon of light. (Ecc 3:11 Man is a special creation; “God has planted eternity in his heart.”) The only explanation for human morality is that he was made like God, a moral being.

17. *God of Justice*, Who is righteous, faithful and true (Rom 2:15 “God has written His law on their hearts”). Our conscience bears witness there is someone to whom we are accountable, the Creator.

18. *God of Love*, which contains the gospel message of redemption from an origins perspective, which ties the whole Bible together. The Christ who died for us is the Creator of Life, and is therefore able to redeem us and raise us to new life. (John 3:16)

The video comes with a study guide which can be used for Sunday school discussions. This was the major theme of audience discussion of the video; that it has tremendous value as an instructional and spiritual resource. One member related that a good target audience would young people who are just beginning to grasp where life, beauty, disease and death came from, and the apparent contradiction therein. Another expressed appreciation that at last there is a teaching which integrates the Bible from beginning to end, and shows that the gospel has its basis in the Genesis creation account. Another member related that his church is developing a Creation curriculum for their Bible studies, and will be using “God of Wonders” as the foundational teaching resource. Thanks to all for participating!

May Meeting Highlights

For our May 13 meeting, we were blessed to have Dr. Carl Baugh, Director of the Creation Evidence Museum in Glen Rose, Texas, whose weekly Creation program is broadcast on TBN and throughout the Arab world, translated into Arabic. Dr. Baugh began his presentation by stating the important principle, that “science is excellent, but not impeccable,” and that the only absolute authority for Truth is Holy Scripture. This theme is carried out in the Museum, which has recently acquired texts of the Erasmus Greek NT upon which the King James Version of the Bible was based, 400 years ago. The *Textus Receptus*, along with others such as a 400 year-old Torah and a 200 year-old Isaiah scroll, are displayed above the scientific artifacts to give the Word its proper priority in determining true history.

Dr. Baugh reviewed some “Hard Questions for Evolutionists,” focusing on artifacts shown in the Museum as evidence against evolution. He demonstrated evolutionist’s inconsistency in interpreting the fossil record by describing a cat track discovery in Cretaceous rock in Glen Rose, which was rejected by the establishment as “impossible.” But when an extinct mammal fossil (*morganucodon*, a tiny, rat-like quadruped that had 5-toed feet and a short tail.) was discovered contemporaneously with dinosaurs (late Triassic), they declared it a human ancestor and featured it in the Smithsonian “Hall of Mammals.” Dr. Baugh’s discovery of a 16.5” human footprint in the Paluxy River in Texas precipitated his conversion to a young- earth position, because the print was positioned next to a dinosaur print, refuting the “millions of years” myth of the Gap Theory.

His next evidence was the commonly known existence of polystrate tree fossils, such as a specimen in Tennessee that extends through supposedly five million years of geologic history, spanning three coal beds, sandstone and limestone layers! The global extent of the Cretaceous layer at Glen Rose is part of the extensive sediments that can only be explained by a world-wide flood, exemplified by the Austin Chalk and the White Cliffs of Cover. Likewise, the Morrison Formation (Dino Ridge, CO) runs into eleven states and Canada, and contains a compressed tree trunk fossil which attests to rapid deposit of over 20 layers above it, and which contains unmineralized fibers in

its bark. But most convincing are the human footprints excavated by Baugh and other researchers, and the Permian print discovered by A.M. Coffee in 1955 near Stennett, TX. CT scans of these prints show adjacent areas of substrate compression, which authenticates them as prints, rather than faked carvings, which show no such compression. Carl discussed many creation artifacts displayed at the Museum, including the fossilized finger of a female. Cat scan images show the fingernail, bone marrow, and ligaments. A hammer discovered in Cretaceous sediments tested to be made from a strange iron alloy (2.6% chlorine) not known to modern metallurgy. Also in the museum is a metal cup discovered in coal in Oklahoma (Pennsylvanian). Next, described as possibly the world’s most important artifact, is the Cambrian sandal print with *in situ* trilobites from Antelope Springs, UT (Wm. Meister, 1952). It answers the age-old questions: Who are we? Where did we come from? What is our purpose?, and Where are we going? By proving a recent creation, with mankind present from the beginning, the sandal print compresses all of geologic time into the recent past, and totally destroys evolutionary theory. It answers: “We are created in God’s image, by His creative hand and compassion, to recognize and represent the Creator, and we are going to meet Him someday, one way or another.”



In the Q&A session following, Dr. Baugh described interesting results from his hypobaric chamber experiments with fruit flies and venomous snakes. Increased atmospheric pressure and oxygen ratio, along with a pulsed magnetic field was used to simulate a presumed pre-flood environment. Results showed significant changes in the protein expression profile for snake venom, adding some support to Dr. Baugh’s theory that venom originally had a different (non-lethal) purpose in creation. The fruit flies were found to have an extended adult life (X3) after only the second generation. Thanks!

Peppered Moth Reincarnated by Evolutionists

By Bill Browning

Most people learned in high school biology class a story that generates boundless evolutionary enthusiasm, about England's little peppered moths, as the ultimate triumph of Darwinism—"evolution in action." The story goes that the moths, which expressed both light and darker (melanic) forms, adapted to changes in camouflage caused by darkening of tree trunks due to industrial pollution. As the trees darkened, moth coloration shifted to match the changing background. The story, now discredited, was eagerly promoted in spite of outright fraud: The famous photos of light and dark moths, resting on a lichen-covered tree trunks and being eaten by birds, were faked by pinning and/or gluing laboratory-bred moths onto logs or trunks.



Actually, the textbook story demonstrates nothing more than gene frequencies shifting back and forth, by natural selection, within one created kind, which has the light and dark coloration as a natural genetic variation within the population. University of Chicago evolutionary biologist Jerry Coyne agrees that the peppered moth story, which was "the prize horse in our stable," has to be thrown out. (1)

The research is attributed to H.B. Kettlewell, who announced that Darwin would be overjoyed to see the vindication of his theory, saying, "He would have witnessed the consummation and confirmation of his life's work."(1)

Now, scientists in a PBS NOVA documentary are saying the same thing about the rock pocket mouse of Arizona and New Mexico. NOVA recently aired a program celebrating the Darwin Bicentennial, carrying on NOVA's tradition of broadcasting evolutionary propaganda. The program, entitled "What Darwin Never Knew," attempts to show that scientific progress continues to validate neo-

Darwinism, claiming that what Darwin could not explain, modern science has revealed, including the hidden, genetic mechanisms of change which produced the amazing diversity of life on the planet and "What makes us human."(2)

Biologists at the U. of Arizona have discovered adaptive variation in coat coloration in a natural population of rock pocket mice, and claim to have identified the underlying genetic mutations responsible for the adaptation.(3)

Since the moth story has been discredited, it is not surprising that evolution's apostles are motivated to 'push' the pocket mouse scenario in educational and media circles. Rock pocket mice are generally light-colored and live on light-colored desert rocks. However, populations of dark (melanic) mice are found on dark lava, and this concealing coloration is believed to provide protection from predators, including owls, coyotes, snakes, etc. This view is strengthened by the strong correlation between substrate color and coat color across 18 different populations in Arizona and New Mexico. Of the 20 mice trapped at the Armendaris site, 7 of 8 mice caught on the dark lava were dark, whereas all 12 mice caught on the light-colored rocks were light. As seen in the table, this strong correlation exists at all three locations investigated.

Site	No. of Samples	Light	Rocks	Dark	Rocks
		Light Coat	Dark Coat	Light Coat	Dark Coat
Armendaris	20	12	0	1	7
Pinacate	29	10	1	2	16
Avra/Portal	20	20	0	N/A	N/A
	Tot 69	98%	2%	12%	88%

At this point, the biologists jumped to the assumption that the adaptive coloration of the darker mice was due to mutation in genes known to have an association with coat color. Most animals are capable of producing both pigments naturally, and have various shades of color distributed throughout their hair coats. In humans, skin color is primarily the result of Mendelian genetics (two alleles), with the exception of light skin/red hair, which is due to a mutation. In spite of this natural tendency, the biologists performed DNA sequencing comparing the light and dark mice genes, and isolated four amino acid differences in the MC1R receptor gene of dark mice. They claim these mutations to be responsible for the dark fur adaptation found in mice on the dark rocks, demonstrating evolution and natural selection at work, and a "powerful link between evolution and

change.” The researcher on camera made this bottom-line quote: “Darwin would be delighted to know we can find the gene responsible for an evolutionary change.”

But, is this a valid claim? Was mutation responsible for the color adaptation, or just selection or migration within existing color variations in the mouse populations? Let’s take a look at how the Creator designed the color-control mechanism in mammals.

There are two basic types of pigments produced by melanocytes (skin cells specialized for this purpose): *Pheomelanin* is a red to yellow pigment; *eumelanin* is a darker, more photo-protective brown to black pigment.(4)

The melanocortin-1 receptor (MC1R) is a protein located on the surface of melanocytes and is involved with switching melanin synthesis from the lighter *pheomelanin* to the darker *eumelanin* pigment. Activation of the MC1R by its ligand, primarily α -melanocyte stimulating hormone, switches biosynthesis from the default pathway for *pheomelanin* (light) to production of *eumelanin* (dark). Mammals commonly have a MC1R antagonist, the agouti protein, which competitively binds the MC1R receptor, preventing its ligand from binding and activating it. Thus, by varying levels of the hormone and agouti protein, the wild type receptor can effectively switch melanin biosynthesis between these two forms of melanin. What an awesome example of design.

Humans normally respond to ultraviolet light by increasing production of the MC1R ligand. When the ligand binds the MC1R, through a series of biochemical reactions the melanocyte switches from *pheomelanin* to *eumelanin* biosynthesis. This protects the skin from many of the damaging effects of ultra violet light, giving a “suntan” to the skin. Furthermore, we know that laboratory mice exhibit Mendelian genetics in coat color phenotypes, and crossing homozygous white (cc) animals with heterozygous black mice (Cc) in the albino locus yields a 50-50 ratio of white and black offspring.(6)

The variation in the gene for MC1R accounts for much of the variability and beauty in coat colors normally seen in animals today, especially in dogs. Mutations can cause loss of MC1R function, defaulting to the light color, while gain-of-function mutations can result in constitutively active and

dominant receptors, giving dark pigment. So, a dark mouse could be dark because of Mendelian genetics or because of a hyperactive MC1R receptor due to mutation. In claiming the latter cause, biologists failed in the NOVA report to point out that the dark-coated mice possessed the mutated MC1R locus at only one of the two lava habitats they investigated (Pinacate). Black mice at Armendaris do not possess the mutation! Furthermore, not all the dark mice at Pinacate had the mutations, clearly demonstrating that the black coloration is not the result of the four amino acid differences. Though concealed from the NOVA audience, the researchers admit in their paper that their data “do not rule out the possibility that a linked gene is responsible for observed phenotypic differences.”(3)



Arizona pocket mouse, *Choetodipus intermedius*
Image courtesy PBS NOVA

Further study should be directed at determining whether wild-type pocket mice can express both coat colors, as in laboratory mice (6), which appears on the surface to explain the results best; creation, not evolution. Any type of degenerative mutation like this is hardly what Darwin’s theory requires. “You cannot account for evolutionary ‘advancement’ of biological systems by degenerative mutations.” “Nothing ‘new’ has evolved, only a change in regulatory control. On the other hand, a creation model specifically predicts these types of events.”(7)

References

- 1) Wieland, C. “Goodbye, Peppered Moth,” *Creation* 21(3):56 June 1999
- 2) NOVA Video, <http://video.pbs.org/video/1372073556>
- 3) Nachman, M. et al. “The Genetic Basis of Adaptive Melanism in Pocket Mice 2010, <http://www.pnas.org/content/100/9/5268.full>
- 4) Lightner, J. *Answers Research Journal* 1 (2008):109-116 www.answersingenesis.org/articles/arj/v1/n1/coat-color
- 5) Parker, G. 2006 *Creation: Facts of Life*. Master Books
- 6) Maabre, http://www.maabre.net/mice_coat_clr.htm
- 7) Anderson, Kevin, 2011. Email to JWBrowning 4/09/11

RMCF Creation Safari

The Yellowstone Safari Trip is full, and will be held July 23-29, 2011. We look forward to education, fun, and fellowship, led by Ed and Janie Johlman.

Phil Budd is taking backup reservations in case of cancellation. Call Phil at 303-816-1571

Factoid References: (1) John Sanford, 2005, "Genetic Entropy & the Mystery of the Human Genome," NY: Elim Publishing
(2) Sanford video www.metacafe.com/watch/4585582/human_evolution_or_human_entropy_dr_john_sanford/
(3) Sanford video www.metacafe.com/watch/4587204/natural_selection_falsified_dr_john_sanford/

RMCF Membership Application

Name _____ Phone: _____

Address: _____

City/State/zip _____

Email address _____

Church Affiliation _____

Desire to receive Newsletter? Yes _____ No _____

Recommended Donation: \$20/yr

Please mail form to Box 3451, Littleton, CO 80161

Monarch Butterfly, a Miracle of Creation

One of the marvelous creatures discussed in the "God of Wonders" video was the monarch butterfly, which migrates thousands of miles every year, from their winter range in a mountainous region in Mexico, to Canada and the east coast of the US. Most amazing is the fact that the monarchs, which depart Mexico in the spring do not last until their destination, but mate, lay their eggs, and die. After undergoing metamorphosis, the new adults resume the migration. In all, it takes three generations to reach their destination. In the fall, the fourth generation is able to return all the way to Mexico without offspring, and also continue through the winter before mating again. A NOVA documentary on monarchs cites research into how the monarchs navigate. (Obviously it is not a learned behavior, due to the multi-generational phenomenon.) Researchers have trapped migrating monarchs in Kansas and released them on the east coast of USA. Initially, the butterflies fly in the same direction as in Kansas (which would take them over the Atlantic ocean), but they soon discover the problem and change direction toward Mexico. We marvel at the Creator's power!

Big Sur Ornithology Lab Monarch Butterfly Research



Map courtesy Ventana Wildlife Society

For the works of the Lord are great, sought out by all who delight in them.

The LORD has made his wonderful works to be remembered.

Psalms 111

Don't Become Extinct!

If your membership has expired, we need your renewal to cover costs of printing and mailing.

Your mailing label shows the expiration date. Thanks- *Pub.*

RMCF Upcoming Speakers

June 10 Dr. Roger Sanders,
Bryan College Researcher

July 8 James Nickel,
Mathematics teacher

August 12 Dr. Dan Korow,
Science Teacher

Notice: All RMCF Meetings are held free of charge to the public. Your contributions are tax deductible.

Please see our website www.youngearth.org for detailed information on speakers and topics

P.O. Box 3451, Littleton, CO 80161
Info@youngearth.org 303-997-1101
Publisher: Bill Browning
Editor: Phil Budd



Rocky Mountain Creation Fellowship
P.O. Box 3451
Littleton, CO 80161
www.youngearth.org

CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED

NONPROFIT ORG
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Littleton, CO
Permit No. 313

June/July 2011 Newsletter

Monthly Meeting Location
Second Friday of Month, 7 PM
Bethlehem Lutheran Church Chapel
21st and Wadsworth

**“For in six days the LORD made
the heavens and the earth,
the sea and all that is in them...”**

Exodus 20:11



Creation/Evolution Factoid #12 Darwinian Delusion Falsified by Genetics

The Neo-Darwinian Synthesis is the most powerful intellectual paradigm in all of history, virtually governing our culture. Because of the power of the delusion, many people have been taken captive, unwillingly by this deception. But modern science has falsified Darwinism, and for these people, there is now liberation.

The literature of population genetics reveals that many geneticists are saying there is a fundamental problem with the theory; that it doesn't really work. This fact has not been communicated to the public—sort of a “trade secret.” John Sanford's book, “Genetic Entropy”(1) makes the trade secret of population genetics public information.

Geneticists accept the model that if the mutation rate were only one per individual per generation, extinction of the humanity would be certain. But today, the mutation rate has been measured to be 100-300 mutations per person per generation. We are all mutants, with tens of thousands each. Tragically, 2-3% of births today have visible birth defects.(2) Researchers have classified over 6000

human Mendelian genetic diseases. There are actually thousands more that are too subtle to classify.

So, if geneticists are asked today if the human population is degenerating, they answer, “Yes,” (the opposite of evolution). Dr. Crow, famous geneticist and evolutionist has discussed the problem by saying, “We are genetically inferior to cave men.”

Instead of being evolution's “magic bullet,” clearing out bad mutations, and reinforcing the beneficial ones, Natural Selection (NS) works only in a very limited context.

If there is a high rate of mutations, most of which are bad, there is no selection scheme that can keep up with the mutations as they flood into the population. NS can select for a very bad gene, but can select for only a few percent of the most typical deleterious mutations, because the effects are too subtle to be selected. Even good mutations are overwhelmingly too subtle for selection.

Population geneticists don't contest any of these flaws in the theory. When asked, they say, “We know that.” So, how do they justify teaching the doctrine as dogma? Basically, they retreat to a faith position.(3)

(References on p. 7)